

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/079,775	02/19/2002	Marina V. Plat	D900D/1368D	9123
75	90 05/10/2004		EXAM	INER
Kelly K. Korzi	ik		LEE, HSII	EN MING
Winstead, Sechi	rest & Minick P.C.			
P.O. Box 50784			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 Main Street			2823	
Dallas, TX 75250-0784			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/079,775	PLAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hsien-Ming Lee	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	ebruary 2004.					
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 13-18 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 13-17 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	7	De 5/5/2004				
Attachment(s)	X	U /5/2004				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/079,775

Art Unit: 2823

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Remarks

1. Claims 1-6, 13-18 are pending in the application, wherein claim 18 is newly added.

2. The previous non-final Office Action is withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung et al. (US 6,184,142) in view of Huang et al. (US 6,159,661).

In re claims 1-3 and 6, Chung et al., in Figs. 6A-6F and related text, teach the claimed method providing a semiconductor device, the semiconductor including a first layer 113/116/112 desired to be etched, the method comprising the steps of:

- (a) providing, by depositing, an antireflective coating (ARC) layer 114 (Fig. 6A), which is a SiON having antireflective properties (col. 4, lines 30-32);
- (b) patterning a first resist layer 130, the first resist layer 130 including a pattern having a plurality of apertures therein for etching a first portion (i.e. the portion where a dual damascene opening to be formed) of the first layer 113/116/112 (Fig. 6A);
- (c) etching the first portion of the first layer 113/116/112 to form a via (Figs. 6C);
- (d) removing the first resist layer 130 utilizing a plasma etch with O<sub>2</sub> plasma (Figs. 6A-6B);

Application/Control Number: 10/079,775

Art Unit: 2823

and

(e) patterning a second resist layer 131, the resist layer 131 including a pattern having a plurality of apertures therein for etching a second portion of the first layer 113/116/112 (Fig.6D);

Page 3

(f) etching the second portion of the first layer 113/116/112 to form a trench as shown in Fig. 6F (Figs. 6E-6F).

In re claim 18, Chung et al teach a method for reducing antireflective coating (ARC) layer removal comprising the steps of:

- depositing an ARC layer 114 on a first layer 113/116/112, wherein said ARC layer
   114 comprises a layer of SiON having a thickness of about 500~1,000 Angstroms;
- patterning a first resist layer 130 on said ARC layer 114, wherein said first resist layer
   130 comprises a pattern having a plurality of apertures therein for etching a first
   portion of said first layer 113/116/112;
- etching said first portion of said first layer 113/116/112;
- removing said first resist layer 130 utilizing a plasma etch after said first portion of said first layer 113/116/112 is etched;
- patterning a second resist layer 131, wherein the resist layer 131 comprises a pattern
  having a plurality of apertures therein for etching a second portion of the first layer
  113/116/112 (Fig.6D); and
- etching the second portion of the first layer 113/116/112, i.e. forming the opening on the right as shown in Fig. 6F (Figs. 6E-6F).

Chung et al. further teach that the ARC layer has a thickness of between about **500** to 1,000 Angstroms (col. 3, lines 46-52). The thickness range "between about 500 to 1,000 Angstroms"

Art Unit: 2823

allows for thickness slightly less than 500 Angstroms, which obviously teaches the use of a thickness within claimed range "less than about 500 Angstroms." See M.P.E.P. 2144.05

Obviousness of Ranges

Chung et al. further suggest a **desirability** of protecting the low-k dielectric layer from **oxygen-plasma etching damage** during photoresist layer stripping (col. 1, lines 52-67) although Chung et al. is silent as to the ARC layer being resistant to the oxygen plasma etching. For the purpose of protecting the low-k dielectric layer 113, Chung et al. teach forming the ARC layer 114 on the low-k dielectric layer.

Chung et al. do not teach that the ARC layer is 300 Angstroms plus or minus thirty Angstroms (claim 6).

However, Huang et al., in an analogous art, teach forming an ARC layer 34 (i.e. SiON) on a low-k dielectric layer 32a, wherein the ARC layer 34 typically has a thickness of about 300~600 Angstroms (col. 4, lines 24-31). Huang et al. further suggested that using SiON as the ARC layer on the low-k dielectric layer would protect the low-k dielectric from oxygen-plasma etching damage (col. 2, lines 55-57 and col. 4, lines 26-29), which is coherent with the aforementioned desirability as indicated by Chung et al..

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to select a desired ARC thickness as taught by Huang et al. in the method of Chung et al., since by this manner it would provide a suitable antireflective property and better resistance to oxygen plasma etching.

Art Unit: 2823

In re claim 4, Chung et al. in view of Huang et al. teach removing the resist layer utilizing a plasma etch with a plasma including a forming gas (i.e. O<sub>2</sub>) but do not expressly teach that the plasma includes four percent of the forming gas.

However, the selection of the percentage of the forming gas is obvious because it is a matter of determining optimum process condition by routine experimentation with a limited number of species. In re Jones, 162 USPQ 224 (CCPA 1955)(the selection of optimum ranges within prior art general conditions is obvious) and In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)(discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in a known process is obvious). In such a situation, applicants must show that the particular range is critical, generally by showing that that claimed range achieves unexpected results. See M.P.E.P. 2144.05 III. In fact, the originally filed specification does <u>not</u> demonstrate any criticality and/or novelty as to why the forming gas has to be four percent.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung et al. in view of Huang et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yin et al. (US 6,573,175).

Chung et al. in view of Huang et al. substantially teach the claimed method, including removing the resist layer utilizing a plasma etch but do not expressly teach providing a wet preclean after the plasma etching step (d).

However, Yin et al., in an analogous art, teaches providing the ARC layer 18 (SiON); patterning a resist layer 20; etching a first layer 16 (a low-k dielectric) and the ARC layer 18; and removing the resist layer 20 followed by a wet etch to clean undesirable residue 26 (col. 5, lines 30-37) prior to depositing a conductive plug 34 thereon.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,775 Page 6

Art Unit: 2823

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the wet preclean as taught by Yin et al. after removing the resist layer in Chung et al. in view of Huang et al., since by doing so it would clean the residues from the plasma etching, which, in turn, would benefit the critical dimension of the device.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 13-17 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  The prior art of record, Chung et al. (US 6,184,142), neither teaches nor suggest

  removing the SiON layer.

#### Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 2/26/04 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hsien-Ming Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 ~ 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,775 Page 7

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hsien-Ming Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

Sien Ming Lie

May 5, 2004